

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/776,552	02/10/2004	Levi L. Loucks	LOUL101 5432		
7590 10/03/2005			EXAMINER		
ROBERT L. SHAVER DYKAS, SHAVER & NIPPER, LLP			RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
P.O. BOX 877	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOISE, ID 83	3701-0877		2856		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/776,5	52	LOUCKS ET AL.	m			
		Examine	r	Art Unit	(
		Robert R	. Raevis	2856				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evunication. D) days, a reply within the startutory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron olication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	non-final.					
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Neterences Cited (* 10-032) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-14-04</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail [152)			

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the probes "mounted on existing rods" (of claim 2) and "plurality of moisture probes, attached to said one ,,,rod" (claim 14, line 4) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 1, "said bale penetrating probes" (line 7) lacks antecedent basis. Is the "system" limited to include at least "one" (line 4) probe, or a plurality of "probes" (line 3 from last)? Isn't "a driver" (line 2 from last) the same as "a vehicle driver" (line 4 from last)? (Shouldn't "a driver" read –the driver—?)

As to claim 2, "said bale penetrating rods" and "said moisture sensing probes" lack antecedent basis. How does "normally" (line 2) structurally distinguish "rods" from any other rods? Are the "existing rods" (last line) in addition to "said bale penetrating rods" (line 1) for a total of at least 4 rods? Does "existing rods" mean all existing rods?

As to claims 3,4, "moisture sensing probes" lack antecedent basis.

As to claim 4, "a" (line 1) should read -are--.

As to claim 5, are the "a plurality of sensors" in addition to the "one ... probe" (line 4 of claim 1) for a total of at least three (3) sensing elements, or is at least one sensing element being claimed twice?

As to claim 6, does "several moisture sensors" relate back to claim 5's "sensors", or are they additional sensors for a total of at least 4?

As to claim 10, is the "memory storage device" connected to the system of claim

1? Presently, it is not, and thus claim 10 appears to be an aggregation of parts, and not
a "system".

Art Unit: 2856

As to claim 14, where in the written specification is there a plurality of probes attached to the same ("one" line 4) rod? The "alarm" does not appear to be structurally connected to the remaining limitations of the system, and thus the claim appears directed to an aggregation of parts. Both the "memory storage device" and "printing device" do not appear to be structurally connected to the remaining limitations of the system, and thus the claim appears directed to an aggregation of parts. After "driver of said" (line 2 from last) insert –vehicle—.

Page 4

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Fetter and Dugan teach vehicles with mounted penetrating rods for moving bales. However, the rods to not suggest moisture sensing probes.

Bohman et al teach moisture sensing probes 30 on a vehicle with tines 22. However, the probes are not attached to the tines 22, and the tines are not "bale penetrating rods".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/776,552

Art Unit: 2856

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RCEVIS